

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,792	02/17/2006	Esa Peltola	37888-400200	6422
27717 SEYFARTH S	7590 07/30/201 HAWIIP	EXAMINER		
131 S. DEARE	BORN ST., SUITE 2400	CERNOCH, STEVEN MICHAEL		
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/568,792	PELTOLA ET AL.	PELTOLA ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit					
STEVEN M. CERNOCH	3752					

	316	EVEN W. CERNOCH	3/32				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS a CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE (instorms of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-136b). O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appli ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply by by statute, cause reply received by the Office later than three membra after the maining date one department may displace. See 27 CFR 1.704(b).	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be time ty and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 20	<u>010</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action	on is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex part			merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Application	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2006 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).				
	∑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents hav 	o been received					
	Certified copies of the priority documents hav Certified copies of the priority documents hav		on No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority de-			Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PC						
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment	nt(s)						
0 M No.		n □	(DTO 440)				

 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

 Notice of Informal Fatert Application.

6) Other: _____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the appeal brief filed on 5/24/2010, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Len Tran/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-10, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Relyea et al. (US Pat No 5,301,756) in view of Glowienke et al. (US Pat No 4,043,397).

Art Unit: 3752

Re claim 1, Relyea et al. shows piercing a shell of a burning object by pushing at least one elongated piercing tool arranged in a rescue boom from the side of a first surface of the shell to the side of a second surface thereof (Fig. 13), feeding, along at least one longitudinal channel (Fig. 3, 49) in the piercing tool, a fire extinguishing medium (column 6, lines 21-31) to a nozzle (Fig. 15, 200) provided in the piercing tool, spraying the fire extinguishing medium to the side of the second surface of the shell through a plurality of orifices provided in the nozzle (column 10, line 42).

Relyea et al. does not teach directing a plurality of single jets expelled from the orifices so that they intersect one another to form a single uniform jet having a flat curtain-like shape.

However, Glowienke et al. does teach directing a plurality of single jets expelled from the orifices so that they intersect one another (Fig. 6, 19) to form a single uniform jet having a flat curtain-like shape (Fig. 1, 34).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the motivation to modify the orifices of Relyea et al. with the orifices of Glowienke et al. to stop the flames from looping (col. 3, lines 9-15).

Re claim 2, Relyea et al. shows using said jet in order to confine a seat of fire (column 9, lines 19-23 and 33-36).

Re claims 3 and 4, Relyea et al. shows turning the nozzle and the piercing tool around the longitudinal axis of the piercing tool in order to turn the curtain-like jet (column 1, lines 55-59).

Art Unit: 3752

Re claim 5, Relyea et al. shows a boom provided with at least one movable boom part connected to a base (Fig. 3), at least one piercing tool arranged at a free end of the boom (Fig. 15, 198), the piercing tool being an elongated piece comprising at least one longitudinal channel (194), at least one actuator for moving the piercing tool in the longitudinal direction of the piercing tool with respect to an outermost end of the boom (column 1, lines 55-59), at least one feed channel for feeding a fire extinguishing medium to the channel in the piercing tool (column 6, lines 21-31), at least one nozzle (Fig. 15, 200), which is an elongated piece and which is connected to the channel in the piercing tool (column 10, lines 40-44), the fire extinguishing medium being arranged to be fed through a plurality of orifices provided in the nozzle (column 10, line 42), and wherein in the longitudinal cross section of the nozzle, the orifices in the nozzle are arranged to pass via substantially the same imaginary plane so that the fire extinguishing medium fed through the orifices (column 9, lines 33-36).

Relyea et al. does not teach a plurality of single jets which intersect one another to form a single uniform jet having a flat curtain-like shape.

However, Glowienke et al. does teach directing a plurality of single jets expelled from the orifices so that they intersect one another (Fig. 6, 19) to form a single uniform jet having a flat curtain-like shape (Fig. 1, 34).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the motivation to modify the orifices of Relyea et al. with the orifices of Glowienke et al. to stop the flames from looping (col. 3, lines 9-15).

Art Unit: 3752

Re claim 6, Relyea et al. shows means are provided in connection with the piercing tool for turning the curtain-like jet expelled from the nozzle with respect to the longitudinal axis of the piercing tool (column 1, lines 55-59).

Re claim 7, Relyea et al. shows a nozzle of a piercing tool for spraying a fire extinguishing medium, the nozzle being an elongated piece having a front end and a rear end and the nozzle comprising: fastening means at the rear end of the nozzle for fastening the nozzle to the piercing tool (Fig. 15, 195, 196, 197, 198), at least one feed channel for feeding a fire extinguishing medium to the nozzle (194), a plurality of orifices extending from the feed channel to an outer surface of the nozzle (200), however

Relyea et al. does not teach the orifices being directed obliquely forwards such that the farther away from the front end of the nozzle a single orifice resides, the larger an acute angle between the middle axis of the orifice and the middle axis of the nozzle, and wherein in the longitudinal cross section of the nozzle, the orifices are arranged to pass via substantially the same imaginary plane so that the fire extinguishing medium fed through the orifices forms a plurality of single jets which intersect one another to form a single uniform jet having a flat curtain-like shape.

Glowienke et al. does teach the orifices being directed obliquely forwards such that the farther away from the front end of the nozzle a single orifice resides, the larger an acute angle between the middle axis of the orifice and the middle axis of the nozzle (Fig. 6), and wherein in the longitudinal cross section of the nozzle, the orifices are arranged to pass via substantially the same imaginary plane (Fig. 8) so that the fire extinguishing medium fed through the orifices forms a plurality of single jets which

Art Unit: 3752

intersect one another to form a single uniform jet having a flat curtain-like shape (Fig. 1, 34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the motivation to combine the nozzle of Relyea et al. with the orifices of Glowienke et al. to stop the flames from looping (col. 3, lines 9-15).

Re claim 8, Relyea et al. does not teach the cross section of the single orifices in the nozzle is dimensioned to be the larger the smaller the angle between the middle axis of the orifice and the middle axis of the nozzle so that the curtain-like jet is arranged to extend to a larger distance at the front of the nozzle than on the sides of the nozzle.

However, Glowienke et al. does teach the cross section of the single orifices in the nozzle is dimensioned to be the larger the smaller the angle between the middle axis of the orifice and the middle axis of the nozzle so that the curtain-like jet is arranged to extend to a larger distance at the front of the nozzle than on the sides of the nozzle (Fig. 8, 25 & 26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the motivation to combine the nozzle of Relyea et al. with the orifice pattern of Glowienke et al. to stop the flames from looping (col. 3, lines 9-15).

Re claim 9, Relyea et al. shows the nozzle is a sleeve-like piece, and the front end of the nozzle is provided with connecting means for fastening a separate tip piece (Fig. 15, 196, 197, 198).

Art Unit: 3752

Re claim 10, Relyea et al. does not teach in the longitudinal cross section of the nozzle, the orifices are arranged successively in a first line of orifices and in a second line of orifices, and the first line of orifices resides on a first side of the middle axis of the nozzle while the second line of orifices resides on a second side of the middle axis thereof so that the nozzle is arranged to form a uniform, curtain-like jet extending to the sides and to the front of the nozzle.

However, Glowienke et al. teaches in the longitudinal cross section of the nozzle, the orifices are arranged successively in a first line of orifices and in a second line of orifices, and the first line of orifices resides on a first side of the middle axis of the nozzle while the second line of orifices resides on a second side of the middle axis thereof so that the nozzle is arranged to form a uniform, curtain-like jet extending to the sides and to the front of the nozzle (Fig. 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the motivation to combine the nozzle of Relyea et al. with the orifice pattern of Glowienke et al. to stop the flames from looping (col. 3, lines 9-15).

Re claim 14, Relyea et al. shows the step of extending a curtain-like flat jet to the front of the piercing tool (Fig. 15, 200 & 198).

Re claim 15, Relyea et al. shows wherein the orifices of the nozzle are directed obliquely forward (column 10, lines 36-37).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Relyea et al. (US Pat No 5.301.756) in view of Glowienke et al. (US Pat No 4.043.397) as

Art Unit: 3752

applied to claims 1-10, 14 and 15 above, and further in view of Geddes et al. (US Pat No 2,246,797).

Re claim 11, Glowienke et al. teaches in the longitudinal cross section of the nozzle, the orifices are arranged successively in a first line of orifices and in a second line of orifices, and the first line of orifices resides on a first side of the middle axis of the nozzle while the second line of orifices resides on a second side of the middle axis thereof so that the nozzle is arranged to form a uniform, curtain-like jet extending to the sides and to the front of the nozzle (Fig. 8), but does not teach that the outer surface of the nozzle is provided with at least one longitudinal groove at the first line of orifices and at least one longitudinal groove at the the outer surface of the nozzle is provided with at least one longitudinal groove at the second line of orifices, however Geddes et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the motivation to combine the nozzle of Relyea et al. and the orifice pattern of Glowienke et al. to stop the flames from looping (col. 3, lines 9-15) and with the grooves of Geddes et al. so they may flare outwardly in the radial plane (col. 3, lines 28-29).

Claims 12-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Relyea et al. (US Pat No 5,301,756) in view of Glowienke et al. (US Pat No 4,043,397) as applied to claims 1-10, 14 and 15 above, and further in view of Geddes et al. (US Pat No 2,246,797) and Nicholson et al. (US Pat No 4,435,891).

Art Unit: 3752

Re claim 12, Glowienke et al. teaches in the longitudinal cross section of the nozzle, the orifices are arranged successively in a first line of orifices and in a second line of orifices, and the first line of orifices resides on a first side of the middle axis of the nozzle while the second line of orifices resides on a second side of the middle axis thereof so that the nozzle is arranged to form a uniform, curtain-like jet extending to the sides and to the front of the nozzle (Fig. 8), while Geddes et al. teaches that the outer surface of the nozzle is provided with at least one longitudinal groove at the first line of orifices (Figs 2&4, #42), but neither teach the at least one longitudinal groove at the second line of orifices, however Nicholson et al. does teach the at least one longitudinal groove at the second line of orifices (Figs 1 & 2, 12 & 18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the motivation to combine the nozzle of Relyea et al. and the orifice pattern of Glowienke et al. and the grooves of Geddes et al. with the 2nd line grooves of Nicholson et al. to ensure no bacteria can accumulate (col. 3, lines 20-24).

Re claim 13, Glowienke et al. teaches in the longitudinal cross section of the nozzle, the orifices are arranged successively in a first line of orifices and in a second line of orifices, and the first line of orifices resides on a first side of the middle axis of the nozzle while the second line of orifices resides on a second side of the middle axis thereof so that the nozzle is arranged to form a uniform, curtain-like jet extending to the sides and to the front of the nozzle (Fig. 8), while Geddes et al. teaches that the outer surface of the nozzle is provided with at least one longitudinal groove at the first line of orifices (Figs 284, #42) and Nicholson et al. teaches at least one longitudinal groove at

Art Unit: 3752

the second line of orifices (Figs 1 & 2, 12 & 18), and the shape of the bottoms of the grooves in the outer surface of the nozzle is inwardly curved (Fig. 3, 18, 18' & 18").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the motivation to combine the nozzle of Relyea et al. and the orifice pattern of Tsuji et al. and the grooves of Geddes et al. with the 2nd line grooves of Nicholson et al. to ensure no bacteria can accumulate (col. 3, lines 20-24).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEVEN M. CERNOCH whose telephone number is (571)270-3540. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,792 Page 11

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. M. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3752 7/28/2010